

UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE

Patent and Trademark Office

Addr ss: COMMISSIONER OF PATENTS AND TRADEMARKS

Washington, D.C. 20231

APPLICATION NO. FILING DATE		FILING DATE	FIRST NAMED INVENTOR			ATTORNEY DOCKET NO.
	08/715,7	24 09/16/	96 WILSON	_	Р	6000
<u> </u>	KAREN M I	ELLERMAN	D3M1/0509	٦		EXAMINER (19, T
	BASF CORF				ART UNIT	PAPER NUMBER
	ENKA NC :	28728			1314	
					date mailed:	05/09/97

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

Commissioner of Patents and Trademarks

Application No.

08/715,724

Applicant(s)

Wilson et al.

Examiner

Office Action Summary

Terrel Morris

Group Art Unit 1314



This action is FINAL. Since this application is in condition for allowance except for formal matters, prosecution as to the merits is closed in accordance with the practice under Ex parte Quayle, 1935 C.D. 11; 453 O.G. 213. A shortened statutory period for response to this action is set to expire3 month(s), or thirty days, whichever is longer, from the mailing date of this communication. Failure to respond within the period for response will cause the application to become abandoned. (35 U.S.C. § 133). Extensions of time may be obtained under the provisions of 37 CFR 1.136(a). Disposition of Claims X Claim(s)	X Responsive to communication(s) filed on Oct 31, 1996	· · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · ·						
As hortened statutory period for response to this action is set to expire	☐ This action is FINAL .							
is longer, from the mailing date of this communication. Failure to respond within the period for response will cause the application to become abandoned. (35 U.S.C. § 133). Extensions of time may be obtained under the provisions of 37 CFR 1.136(a). Disposition of Claims Claim(s) 1-15 and 17-19								
Solution Solution	is longer, from the mailing date of this communication. Failure t application to become abandoned. (35 U.S.C. \S 133). Extension	to respond within the period for response will cause the						
Of the above, claim(s)	Disposition of Claims							
Claim(s)		is/are pending in the application.						
Claim(s) is/are allowed. X Claim(s) 1-15 and 17-19 is/are rejected. Claim(s) is/are objected to. Claims are subject to restriction or election requirement. Application Papers See the attached Notice of Draftsperson's Patent Drawing Review, PTO-948. The drawing(s) filled on is/are objected to by the Examiner. The proposed drawing correction, filled on is approved disapproved. The specification is objected to by the Examiner. The oath or declaration is objected to by the Examiner. Priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119 Acknowledgement is made of a claim for foreign priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119(a)-(d). All	Of the above, claim(s)	is/are withdrawn from consideration.						
Claim(s) 1-15 and 17-19 is/are rejected. claim(s) is/are objected to. is/are objected to. is/are objected to. claims are subject to restriction or election requirement. Application Papers See the attached Notice of Draftsperson's Patent Drawing Review, PTO-948. The drawing(s) filed on is/are objected to by the Examiner. The proposed drawing correction, filed on is/are objected to by the Examiner. The oath or declaration is objected to by the Examiner. The oath or declaration is objected to by the Examiner. The oath or declaration is objected to by the Examiner. Priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119 (a). Acknowledgement is made of a claim for foreign priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119(a)-(d). all Some* None of the CERTIFIED copies of the priority documents have been received in Application No. (Series Code/Serial Number) received in this national stage application from the International Bureau (PCT Rule 17.2(a)). *Certified copies not received: Acknowledgement is made of a claim for domestic priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119(e). Attachment(s) Notice of References Cited, PTO-892 Information Disclosure Statement(s), PTO-1449, Paper No(s). 2 & 3 Interview Summary, PTO-413 Notice of Draftsperson's Patent Drawing Review, PTO-948								
Claims								
Claims	☐ Claim(s)	is/are objected to.						
See the attached Notice of Draftsperson's Patent Drawing Review, PTO-948. ☐ The drawing(s) filed on								
See the attached Notice of Draftsperson's Patent Drawing Review, PTO-948. ☐ The drawing(s) filed on	Application Papers							
The proposed drawing correction, filed on	• • • • • • • • • • • • • • • • • • • •	Review, PTO-948.						
 ☐ The specification is objected to by the Examiner. ☐ The oath or declaration is objected to by the Examiner. Priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119 ☐ Acknowledgement is made of a claim for foreign priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119(a)-(d). ☐ All ☐ Some* ☐ None of the CERTIFIED copies of the priority documents have been ☐ received. ☐ received in Application No. (Series Code/Serial Number) ☐ received in this national stage application from the International Bureau (PCT Rule 17.2(a)). *Certified copies not received: ☐ Acknowledgement is made of a claim for domestic priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119(e). Attachment(s) ☐ Notice of References Cited, PTO-892 ☒ Information Disclosure Statement(s), PTO-1449, Paper No(s)2 & 3 ☐ Interview Summary, PTO-413 ☐ Notice of Draftsperson's Patent Drawing Review, PTO-948 	☐ The drawing(s) filed onis/are objec	ted to by the Examiner.						
 ☐ The specification is objected to by the Examiner. ☐ The oath or declaration is objected to by the Examiner. Priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119 ☐ Acknowledgement is made of a claim for foreign priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119(a)-(d). ☐ All ☐ Some* ☐ None of the CERTIFIED copies of the priority documents have been ☐ received. ☐ received in Application No. (Series Code/Serial Number) ☐ received in this national stage application from the International Bureau (PCT Rule 17.2(a)). *Certified copies not received: ☐ Acknowledgement is made of a claim for domestic priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119(e). Attachment(s) ☐ Notice of References Cited, PTO-892 ☒ Information Disclosure Statement(s), PTO-1449, Paper No(s)2 & 3 ☐ Interview Summary, PTO-413 ☐ Notice of Draftsperson's Patent Drawing Review, PTO-948 	☐ The proposed drawing correction, filed on	is 🗌 approved 🔲 disapproved.						
Priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119 Acknowledgement is made of a claim for foreign priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119(a)-(d). All Some* None of the CERTIFIED copies of the priority documents have been received. received in Application No. (Series Code/Serial Number) received in this national stage application from the International Bureau (PCT Rule 17.2(a)). *Certified copies not received: Acknowledgement is made of a claim for domestic priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119(e). Attachment(s) Notice of References Cited, PTO-892 Information Disclosure Statement(s), PTO-1449, Paper No(s). 2 & 3 Interview Summary, PTO-413 Notice of Draftsperson's Patent Drawing Review, PTO-948								
 Acknowledgement is made of a claim for foreign priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119(a)-(d). All	$\hfill\Box$ The oath or declaration is objected to by the Examiner.							
 Acknowledgement is made of a claim for foreign priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119(a)-(d). All	Priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119							
□ received. □ received in Application No. (Series Code/Serial Number) □ received in this national stage application from the International Bureau (PCT Rule 17.2(a)). *Certified copies not received: □ Acknowledgement is made of a claim for domestic priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119(e). Attachment(s) □ Notice of References Cited, PTO-892 ☑ Information Disclosure Statement(s), PTO-1449, Paper No(s). 2 & 3 □ Interview Summary, PTO-413 □ Notice of Draftsperson's Patent Drawing Review, PTO-948		under 35 U.S.C. § 119(a)-(d).						
□ received in Application No. (Series Code/Serial Number) □ received in this national stage application from the International Bureau (PCT Rule 17.2(a)). *Certified copies not received: □ Acknowledgement is made of a claim for domestic priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119(e). Attachment(s) □ Notice of References Cited, PTO-892 ☒ Information Disclosure Statement(s), PTO-1449, Paper No(s). 2 & 3 □ Interview Summary, PTO-413 □ Notice of Draftsperson's Patent Drawing Review, PTO-948	☐ All ☐ Some* ☐ None of the CERTIFIED copies of	the priority documents have been						
□ received in this national stage application from the International Bureau (PCT Rule 17.2(a)). *Certified copies not received: □ Acknowledgement is made of a claim for domestic priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119(e). Attachment(s) □ Notice of References Cited, PTO-892 ☒ Information Disclosure Statement(s), PTO-1449, Paper No(s). 2 & 3 □ Interview Summary, PTO-413 □ Notice of Draftsperson's Patent Drawing Review, PTO-948	received.							
*Certified copies not received: Acknowledgement is made of a claim for domestic priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119(e). Attachment(s) Notice of References Cited, PTO-892 Information Disclosure Statement(s), PTO-1449, Paper No(s)2 & 3 Interview Summary, PTO-413 Notice of Draftsperson's Patent Drawing Review, PTO-948	\square received in Application No. (Series Code/Serial Num	ber)						
Acknowledgement is made of a claim for domestic priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119(e). Attachment(s) Notice of References Cited, PTO-892 Information Disclosure Statement(s), PTO-1449, Paper No(s). 2 & 3 Interview Summary, PTO-413 Notice of Draftsperson's Patent Drawing Review, PTO-948	\square received in this national stage application from the \square	International Bureau (PCT Rule 17.2(a)).						
Attachment(s) Notice of References Cited, PTO-892 Information Disclosure Statement(s), PTO-1449, Paper No(s). 2 & 3 Interview Summary, PTO-413 Notice of Draftsperson's Patent Drawing Review, PTO-948	*Certified copies not received:							
 □ Notice of References Cited, PTO-892 ☑ Information Disclosure Statement(s), PTO-1449, Paper No(s). 2 & 3 □ Interview Summary, PTO-413 □ Notice of Draftsperson's Patent Drawing Review, PTO-948 	Acknowledgement is made of a claim for domestic priority	y under 35 U.S.C. § 119(e).						
 ✓ Information Disclosure Statement(s), PTO-1449, Paper No(s). <u>2 & 3</u> ☐ Interview Summary, PTO-413 ☐ Notice of Draftsperson's Patent Drawing Review, PTO-948 	Attachment(s)							
 □ Interview Summary, PTO-413 □ Notice of Draftsperson's Patent Drawing Review, PTO-948 	☐ Notice of References Cited, PTO-892							
☐ Notice of Draftsperson's Patent Drawing Review, PTO-948		o(s). <u>2 & 3</u>						
	☐ Interview Summary, PTO-413							
	-	8						
	☐ Notice of Informal Patent Application, PTO-152	•						
		•						
SEE OFFICE ACTION ON THE FOLLOWING PAGES	SEE DEELCE ACTION ON T	HE FOLLOWING PAGES						

Serial Number: 08/715,724 Page 2

Art Unit: 1314

1. Claim 6 is rejected under 35 U.S.C. 112, second paragraph, as being indefinite for failing to particularly point out and distinctly claim the subject matter which Applicant regards as the invention.

There is no antecedent basis for "said non-polar functional groups". Further, since there is no discussion of such anywhere in the claimed invention, it is not possible to compare claim 6 with the prior art.

2. The following is a quotation of the appropriate paragraphs of 35 U.S.C. 102 that form the basis for the rejections under this section made in this Office action:

A person shall be entitled to a patent unless --

- (b) the invention was patented or described in a printed publication in this or a foreign country or in public use or on sale in this country, more than one year prior to the date of application for patent in the United States.
- 3. Claims 1-5, 7-12, and 17-19 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 102(b) as being anticipated by Lin, US 5,447,794.

The patent is directed to sheath-core polyamide filaments useful in carpet constructions that are resistant to staining by coffee and acid dyes common in food beverages. The sheath component is comprised of nylon 6,12; nylon 12; nylon 6,10 or nylon 11 and the core may be nylon 6,6; nylon 6; or copolymers thereof (Title; Abstract; and column 1, lines 5-11 & 42-64). The weight ratio of sheath component to core component is in the range of 10:90 to 80:20, preferably 10:90 to 50:50 (column 1, lines 35-42).

The staining tests provided by the patent are not performed in the same manner as those instantly claimed. As such, it is not possible to compare the values of staining given by the patent

Serial Number: 08/715,724 Page 3

Art Unit: 1314

with those specified in the claims. Also, the patent does not discuss "percent steam heatsetting shrinkage values" or amine end-group concentration of the sheath component. However, the mere recitation of properties absent in the prior art does not necessarily predicate patentability especially where, as here, the prior art teaches Applicant's preferred embodiment, i.e., a carpet made from a sheath-core filament with a polyamide 6/12 or 6/10 sheath and a polyamide 6 or a polyamide 6/6 core. Since the chemistry and structure of the carpet claimed is clearly and completely anticipated by the Lin patent, the Examiner finds the properties claimed in addition thereto to be inherent to the carpets of Lin. This reasoning is based on the fact that the properties claimed are dependent upon the materials from which they are made and the same materials are used for Applicant's preferred embodiments as for Lin's preferred embodiments.

- 4. The following is a quotation of 35 U.S.C. 103(a) which forms the basis for all obviousness rejections set forth in this Office action:
 - (a) A patent may not be obtained though the invention is not identically disclosed or described as set forth in section 102 of this title, if the differences between the subject matter sought to be patented and the prior art are such that the subject matter as a whole would have been obvious at the time the invention was made to a person having ordinary skill in the art to which said subject matter pertains. Patentability shall not be negatived by the manner in which the invention was made.
- 5. Claims 13-15 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 103(a) as being unpatentable over Lin as set forth above, in view of the recognized state of the art of carpet fibers.

Lin does not discuss filament cross-sectional shape. One example does mention round.

However, Applicant is given Official Notice that in this art it is more preferred to have non-round cross-sectional shapes, particularly trilobal cross-sections, even in multi-component carpet

Serial Number: 08/715,724 Page 4

Art Unit: 1314

filaments for the purposes of increasing bulk among other reasons. Due to this knowledge, it would have been instantly obvious to one of ordinary skill in the art to practice the conceptual invention of Lin with trilobal filaments, motivated by the expectation of providing a higher quality carpet due to increased yarn bulk.

6. The prior art made of record and not relied upon is considered pertinent to Applicant's disclosure.

7. Any inquiry concerning this communication or earlier communications from the Examiner should be directed to Terrel Morris whose telephone number is (703) 308-2414. The Examiner can normally be reached Monday through Thursday from 7:30 am to 4:30 pm and on alternate Fridays.

If attempts to reach the Examiner by telephone are unsuccessful, the Examiner's supervisor, Mr. Marion McCamish, can be reached at (703) 308-3961. The fax phone number for this Group is (703) 305-5436.

Any inquiry of a general nature or relating to the status of this application or proceeding should be directed to the Group receptionist whose telephone number is (703) 2351.

Primary Examiner
Art Unit: 1314

May 6, 1997